

Montana Water Court
PO Box 1389
Bozeman, MT 59771-1389
(406) 586-4364
1-800-624-3270
watercourt@mt.gov

IN THE WATER COURT OF THE STATE OF MONTANA
CLARK FORK DIVISION
KOOTENAI RIVER BASIN (76D)
PRELIMINARY DECREE

* * * * *

CLAIMANTS: Cecelia M. Dolezal; Carolyn Purdy; Tresa
Bockus; Trina Fokas; Tamara Walters

CASE 76D-0502-R-2023
76D 41-00

NOTICE OF FILING OF MASTER'S REPORT

This Master's Report was filed with the Montana Water Court on the above stamped date. Please review this report carefully.

You may file a written objection to this Master's Report within **10 days** of the stamped date if you disagree or find errors with the Master's findings of fact, conclusions of law, or recommendations. Rule 23, W.R.Adj.R. If the Master's Report was mailed to you, the Montana Rules of Civil Procedure allow an additional 3 days be added to the 10-day objection period. Rule 6(d), M.R.Civ.P. If you file an objection, you must serve a copy of the objection to all parties on the service list found at the end of the Master's Report. The original objection and a certificate of mailing to all parties on the service list must be filed with the Water Court.

If you do not file a timely objection, the Water Court will conclude that you agree with the content of this Master's Report.

MASTER'S REPORT

Statement of the case

The above captioned domestic claim appeared in the Preliminary Decree with the following issue remark:

THE TYPE OF HISTORICAL RIGHT MAY BE QUESTIONABLE. DOCUMENTATION SUBMITTED WITH THE CLAIM INDICATES A FILED RIGHT.

Issue remarks result from Department of Natural Resources and Conservation ("DNRC") claims examination. Claims examination confirms the historical use of water right claims and identifies issues with claims. If claims examination cannot confirm some aspect of a claim, an issue remark is added to the claim.

Montana law requires the Water Court to resolve issue remarks. An order set a filing deadline for claimants to file their agreement with "filed" as the type of historical right or evidence supporting the type of historical right. Claimants, except Carolyn Purdy, failed to participate in the issue remark resolution process. Ms. Purdy agreed with the suggested resolution.

The court order informed claimants that their lack of reply would be deemed their agreement to the suggested resolution of the issue remark. The court order also included the following language: "Failure to comply with this order may result in modification of your water right."

Issue

What type of historical right should domestic claim 76D 41-00 identify?

Finding of fact

The type of historical right for domestic claim 76D 41-00 should be "filed."

Principles of law

1. A properly filed Statement of Claim for Existing Water Right is prima facie proof of its content. Section 85-2-227, MCA. This prima facie proof may be contradicted and overcome by other evidence that proves, by a preponderance of the evidence, that an element of the prima facie claim is incorrect. This is the burden of proof for every assertion that a claim is incorrect. Rule 19, W.R.Adj.R. A preponderance of the evidence is a “modest standard” and is evidence that demonstrates the fact to be proved is “more probable than not.” *Hohenlohe v. State*, 2010 MT 203, ¶ 33, 357 Mont. 348, 240 P.3d 628.

2. The Montana Water Court is permitted to use information submitted by the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, the statement of claim, information from approved compacts, and any other data obtained by the Court to evaluate water right claims. Section 85-2-231(2), MCA.

3. When resolving issue remarks, the Montana Water Court must weigh the information resulting in the issue remark and the issue remark against the claimed water right. Section 85-2-247(2), MCA.

4. The Montana Water Court has the authority to resolve issue remarks when the claim file and information available to the Court provide a sufficient basis to do so. Section 85-2-248(3), MCA.

5. All parties subject to the jurisdiction of the Montana Water Court in this adjudication have the obligation to comply with Orders of this Court, including Orders issued by a Master appointed by the Court. If a claimant fails to comply with an Order issued by the Court in its review of issue remarks, the Court may amend the claim to conform with information found in the claim file and information before the Court. Section 85-2-248(9)(a),(b), MCA.

Analysis

Type of historical right issue remark resolution

The statement of claim identifies “decreed” as the type of historical right. However, the claim file includes a Notice of Completion of Groundwater Appropriation by Means of Well filed with the Lincoln County Clerk and Recorder on January 16, 1967. This indicates claim 76D 41-00 is a “filed” right, thereby resolving the issue remark.

Based upon this information and pursuant to § 85-2-248(3), MCA, claimants were ordered to file a statement with the court agreeing with the suggested type of historical right (“filed”) or to file evidence establishing the type of historical right. All claimants, except Carolyn Purdy, failed to file a statement or evidence by the deadline. Ms. Purdy filed a statement agreeing that the type of historical right should be “filed.”

Claimants’ failure to provide any evidence to address or resolve the type of historical right issue remark, except Ms. Purdy, leaves the Notice of Completion of Groundwater Appropriation by Means of Well filed with the Lincoln County Clerk and Recorder on January 16, 1967, included with the statement of claim, and Ms. Purdy’s agreement, as strong evidence of the type of historical right.

Conclusions of law

Based on information in the claim file and before the court, Ms. Purdy’s agreement with the resolution of the issue remark, and the remainder of the claimants’ failure to comply with an order issued by the Water Master, domestic claim 76D 41-00 should be amended as recommended by the order setting filing deadline. The type of historical right issue remark is resolved.

Recommendations

Based upon the foregoing, claim 76D 41-00 should be amended as follows to accurately reflect historical use.

TYPE OF HISTORICAL RIGHT:

~~DECREE~~

FILED

The type of historical right issue remark appearing on the claim should be removed.

A Post Decree Abstract of Water Right Claim accompanies this report to confirm implementation of the recommendations in the state's centralized water right record system.

ELECTRONICALLY SIGNED AND DATED BELOW.

Service via USPS Mail

Cecelia M. Dolezal % Carolyn Purdy
Carolyn Purdy
PO Box 126
Newport WA 99156-0126

Tresa Bockus
525 Sunnyside Rd
Fairbanks AK 99709

Service via USPS Mail

Trina Fokas
2336 Icarus Dr
Henderson NV 89074

Tamara Walters
PO Box 16255
Two Rivers, AK 99716-0255
1013 Oasis Ave
~~Deer Park WA 99006~~

Service list updated 8/16/2023

**POST DECREE
ABSTRACT OF WATER RIGHT CLAIM
KOOTENAI RIVER
BASIN 76D**

Water Right Number: 76D 41-00 STATEMENT OF CLAIM

Version: 3 -- POST DECREE

Status: ACTIVE

Owners:

CECELIA M DOLEZAL
C/O CAROLYN PURDY
PO BOX 126
NEWPORT, WA 99156 0126

CAROLYN PURDY
PO BOX 126
NEWPORT, WA 99156

TRESA BOCKUS
525 SUNNYSIDE RD
FAIRBANKS, AK 99709

TRINA FOKAS
2336 ICARUS DR
HENDERSON, NV 89074

TAMARA WALTERS
PO BOX 16255
TWO RIVERS, AK 99716 0255

Priority Date: JANUARY 16, 1967

Type of Historical Right: FILED

Purpose (use): DOMESTIC

Flow Rate: 15.00 GPM

Volume: 1.50 AC-FT

Households: 2

Maximum Acres: 2.00

Source Name: GROUNDWATER

Source Type: GROUNDWATER

Point of Diversion and Means of Diversion:

<u>ID</u>	<u>Govt Lot</u>	<u>Qtr Sec</u>	<u>Sec</u>	<u>Twp</u>	<u>Rge</u>	<u>County</u>
1		NESWSE	4	30N	31W	LINCOLN

Period of Diversion: JANUARY 1 TO DECEMBER 31

Diversion Means: WELL

THE POINT OF DIVERSION IS LOCATED IN TRACT 2C.

Period of Use: JANUARY 1 TO DECEMBER 31

Place of Use:

<u>ID</u>	<u>Acres</u>	<u>Govt Lot</u>	<u>Qtr Sec</u>	<u>Sec</u>	<u>Twp</u>	<u>Rge</u>	<u>County</u>
1	2.00		NESWSE	4	30N	31W	LINCOLN
Total:	2.00						